

**Proposed Revisions of the Comments to
Rules of Criminal Procedure 430, 455 and 456**

INTRODUCTION

The Criminal Procedural Rules Committee is planning to recommend that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania approve the revisions of the Comments to Rules of Criminal Procedure 430, 455, and 456. These changes would clarify the treatment under the Criminal Procedural Rules of cases involving a child, as defined in the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. § 1-102 et seq., who has failed to pay fines and costs following a summary conviction for truancy. This proposal has not been submitted for review by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania.

The following explanatory Report highlights the Committee's considerations in formulating this proposal. Please note that the Committee's Report should not be confused with the official Committee Comments to the rules. Also note that the Supreme Court does not adopt the Committee's Comments or the contents of the explanatory Reports.

The text of the proposed amendments to the Rules precedes the Report. Additions are shown in bold and are underlined; deletions are in bold and brackets.

We request that interested persons submit suggestions, comments, or objections concerning this proposal in writing to the Committee through counsel,

*Anne T. Panfil, Chief Staff Counsel
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
Criminal Procedural Rules Committee
5035 Ritter Road, Suite 100
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055
fax: (717) 795-2106
e-mail: criminal.rules@pacourts.us*

no later than Friday, June 19, 2009.

May 9, 2009

BY THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURAL RULES COMMITTEE:

D. Peter Johnson, Chair

*Anne T. Panfil
Chief Staff Counsel*

*Jeffrey M. Wasileski
Staff Counsel*

RULE 430. ISSUANCE OF WARRANT.

(A) ARREST WARRANTS INITIATING PROCEEDINGS

A warrant for the arrest of the defendant shall be issued when:

- (1) the citation or summons is returned undelivered; or
- (2) the issuing authority has reasonable grounds to believe that the defendant will not obey a summons.

(B) BENCH WARRANTS

(1) A bench warrant shall be issued when:

- (a) the defendant fails to respond to a citation or summons that was served upon the defendant personally or by certified mail return receipt requested; or
- (b) the defendant has failed to appear for the execution of sentence as required in Rule 454(F)(3).

(2) A bench warrant may be issued when a defendant has entered a not guilty plea and fails to appear for the summary trial, if the issuing authority determines, pursuant to Rule 455(A), that the trial should not be conducted in the defendant's absence.

(3) A bench warrant may be issued when:

- (a) the defendant has entered a guilty plea by mail and the money forwarded with the plea is less than the amount of the fine and costs specified in the citation or summons; or
- (b) the defendant has been sentenced to pay restitution, a fine, or costs and has defaulted on the payment; or
- (c) the issuing authority has, in the defendant's absence, tried and sentenced the defendant to pay restitution, and/or to pay a fine and costs and the collateral deposited by the defendant is less than the amount of the fine and costs imposed.

(4) No warrant shall issue under paragraph (B)(3) unless the defendant has been given notice in person or by first class mail that failure to pay the amount

due or to appear for a hearing may result in the issuance of a bench warrant, and the defendant has not responded to this notice within 10 days. Notice by first class mail shall be considered complete upon mailing to the defendant's last known address.

COMMENT: Personal service of a citation under paragraph (B)(1) is intended to include the issuing of a citation to a defendant as provided in Rule 400(A) and the rules of Chapter 4, Part B(1).

When the defendant is under 18 years of age, and the defendant has failed to respond to the citation, the issuing authority must issue a summons as provided in Rule 403(B)(4)(a). If the **[juvenile] defendant** fails to respond to the summons, the issuing authority should issue a warrant as provided in either paragraph (A)(1) or (B)(1). **See also the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. § 13-1333(b)(2) that permits the issuing authority to allege the defendant dependent.**

A bench warrant may not be issued under paragraph (B)(1) when a defendant fails to respond to a citation or summons that was served by first class mail. See Rule 451.

Nothing in this rule is intended to preclude a judicial district from utilizing the United States Postal Service's return receipt electronic option, or any similar service that electronically provides a return receipt, when using certified mail, return receipt requested.

Rule 454 provides that the issuing authority is to direct any defendant who is sentenced to a term of imprisonment to appear for the execution of sentence on a date certain following the expiration of the 30-day stay required by Rule 461. Paragraph (B)(1)(b), formerly paragraph (A)(1)(d), was added in 2003 to make it clear that an issuing authority should issue a warrant for the arrest of any defendant who fails to appear for the execution of sentence.

Ordinarily, pursuant to Rule 455, the issuing authority must conduct a summary trial in the defendant's absence. However, if the issuing authority determines that there is a likelihood that the sentence will include imprisonment or that

there is other good cause not to conduct the summary trial, the issuing authority may issue a bench warrant for the arrest of the defendant pursuant to paragraph (B)(2) in order to bring the defendant before the issuing authority for the summary trial.

The bench warrant issued under paragraph (B)(3) should state the amount required to satisfy the sentence.

When a defendant is arrested pursuant to paragraph (B)(3), the issuing authority must conduct a hearing to determine whether the defendant is able to pay the amount of restitution, fine, and costs that is due. See Rule 456.

Except in cases brought pursuant to the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. 1-102 et seq., in which the defendant is at least 13 years of age but not yet 17, [I] if the defendant is under 18 years of age and has not paid the fine and costs, the issuing authority must issue the notice required by paragraph (B)(4) to the defendant and the defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian informing the defendant and defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian that, if payment is not received or the defendant does not appear within the 10-day time period, the issuing authority will certify notice of the failure to pay to the court of common pleas as required by the Juvenile Act, 42 Pa.C.S. § 6302, definition of "delinquent act," paragraph (2)(iv). Thereafter, the case will proceed pursuant to the Rules of Juvenile Court Procedure and the Juvenile Act instead of these rules.

If the defendant is charged with a violation of the compulsory attendance requirements of the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. § 1-102, et seq.; has attained the age of 13 but is not yet 17; and has failed to pay the fine and costs, the issuing authority must issue the notice required by paragraph (B)(4) to the defendant and the defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian informing the defendant and defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian that, if payment is not received or the defendant does not appear within the 10-day time period, the issuing authority may allege the defendant dependent under 42 Pa.C.S. § 6303(a)(1).

Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 13-1333(b)(2), the defendant's failure to pay is not a delinquent act and the issuing authority would not certify notice of the failure to pay to the common pleas court.

If the defendant is 18 years of age or older when the default in payment occurs, the issuing authority must proceed under these rules.

When contempt proceedings are also involved, see Chapter 1 Part D for the issuance of arrest warrants.

See Rule 431 for the procedures when a warrant of arrest is executed.

NOTE: Rule 75 adopted July 12, 1985, effective January 1, 1986; effective date extended to July 1, 1986; amended January 31, 1991, effective July 1, 1991; amended April 18, 1997, effective July 1, 1997; amended October 1, 1997, effective October 1, 1998; amended July 2, 1999, effective August 1, 1999; renumbered Rule 430 and amended March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001; amended February 28, 2003, effective July 1, 2003; *Comment* revised August 7, 2003, effective July 1, 2004; *Comment* revised April 1, 2005, effective October 1, 2005; amended June 30, 2005, effective August 1, 2006; amended January 26, 2007, effective February 1, 2008; *Comment* revised September 18, 2008, effective February 1, 2009 [.] ; **Comment revised _____, 2009, effective _____, 2009.**

* * * * *

COMMITTEE EXPLANATORY REPORTS:

Report explaining the January 31, 1991 amendments published at 20 Pa.B. 4788 (September 15, 1990); Supplemental Report published at 21 Pa.B. 621 (February 16, 1991).

Final Report explaining the April 18, 1997 amendments concerning arrest warrants when defendant fails to appear for trial published with the Court's Order at 27 Pa.B. 2117 (May 3, 1997).

Final Report explaining the October 1, 1997 amendments in paragraph (3) and the provisions of new paragraph (4) published with the Court's Order at 27 Pa.B. 5414 (October 18, 1997).

Final Report explaining the July 2, 1999 amendments to paragraph (3)(c) and the Comment concerning restitution published with the Court's Order at 29 Pa.B. 3718 (July 17, 1999).

Final Report explaining the March 1, 2000 reorganization and renumbering of the rules published with the Court's Order at 30 Pa.B. 1478 (March 18, 2000).

Final Report explaining the February 28, 2003 amendments adding paragraph (A)(1)(d) published with the Court's Order at 33 Pa.B. 1326 (March 15, 2003).

Final Report explaining the August 7, 2003 new Comment language concerning failure to pay fines and costs by juveniles published with the Court's Order at 33 Pa.B. 4293 (August 30, 2003).

Final Report explaining the April 1, 2005 Comment revision concerning application of the Juvenile Court Procedural Rules published with the Court's Order at 35 Pa.B. 2213 (April 16, 2005).

Final Report explaining the June 30, 2005 changes distinguishing between warrants that initiate proceedings and bench warrants in summary cases published with the Court's Order at 35 Pa.B. 3911 (July 16, 2005).

Final Report explaining the January 26, 2007 changes published with the Court's Order at 37 Pa.B. 760 (February 17, 2007).

Final Report explaining the September 18, 2008 revision of the Comment concerning the United States Postal Service's return receipt electronic option published with the Court's Order at 38 Pa.B. 5428 (October 4, 2008).

Report explaining the proposed revision of the Comment concerning the Public School Code of 1949 published at 39 Pa.B. (_____, 2009).

RULE 455. TRIAL IN DEFENDANT'S ABSENCE.

(A) If the defendant fails to appear for trial in a summary case, the trial shall be conducted in the defendant's absence, unless the issuing authority determines that there is a likelihood that the sentence will be imprisonment or that there is other good cause not to conduct the trial in the defendant's absence. If the trial is not conducted in the defendant's absence, the issuing authority may issue a warrant for the defendant's arrest.

(B) At trial, the issuing authority shall proceed to determine the facts and render a verdict.

(C) If the defendant is found not guilty, any collateral previously deposited shall be returned.

(D) If the defendant is found guilty, the issuing authority shall impose sentence, and shall give notice by first class mail to the defendant of the conviction and sentence, and of the right to file an appeal within 30 days for a trial *de novo*. In those cases in which the amount of collateral deposited does not satisfy the fine and costs imposed or the issuing authority imposes a sentence of restitution, the notice shall also state that failure within 10 days of the date on the notice to pay the amount due or to appear for a hearing to determine whether the defendant is financially able to pay the amount due may result in the issuance of an arrest warrant.

(E) Any collateral previously deposited shall be forfeited and applied only to the payment of the fine and costs. When the amount of collateral deposited is more than the fine and costs, the balance shall be returned to the defendant.

(F) If the defendant does not respond within 10 days to the notice in paragraph (D), the issuing authority may issue a warrant for the defendant's arrest.

COMMENT: In those cases in which the issuing authority determines that there is a likelihood that the sentence will be imprisonment or that there is other good cause not to conduct the trial in the defendant's absence, the issuing authority may issue a warrant for the arrest of the defendant in order to have the defendant brought before the issuing authority for the summary trial. See Rule 430(B). The trial would then be conducted with the defendant present as provided in these rules. See Rule 454.

When the defendant was under 18 years of age at the time of the offense, if a mandatory sentence of imprisonment is prescribed by statute, the issuing authority may not conduct the trial, but must forward the case to the court of common pleas for disposition. See the Juvenile Act, 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 6302 and 6303.

Paragraph (D) provides notice to the defendant of conviction and sentence after trial *in absentia* to alert the defendant that the time for filing an appeal has begun to run. See Rule 413(B)(3).

Except in cases under the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. 1-102, et seq., in which the defendant is at least 13 years of age but not yet 17, [I] if the defendant is under 18 years of age, the notice in paragraph (D) must inform the defendant and defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian that, if payment is not received or the defendant does not appear within the 10-day time period, the issuing authority will certify notice of the failure to pay to the court of common pleas as required by the Juvenile Act, 42 Pa.C.S. § 6302, definition of "delinquent act," paragraph (2)(iv), and the case will proceed pursuant to the Rules of Juvenile Court Procedure and the Juvenile Act instead of these rules.

If the defendant is charged with a violation of the compulsory attendance requirements of the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. § 1-102, et seq.; has attained the age of 13 but is not yet 17; and has failed to pay the fine and costs, the issuing authority must issue the notice required by paragraph (B)(4) to the defendant and the defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian informing the defendant and defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian that, if payment is not received or the defendant does not appear within the 10-day time period, the issuing authority may allege the defendant dependent under 42 Pa.C.S. § 6303(a)(1). Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 13-1333(b)(2), the defendant's failure to pay is not a delinquent act and the issuing authority would not certify notice of the failure to pay to the common pleas court.

If the defendant is 18 years of age or older and fails to pay or appear as required in paragraph (D), the issuing authority must proceed under these rules.

For the defendant's right to counsel, see Rule 122.

For arrest warrant procedures in summary cases, see Rules 430 and 431.

NOTE: Rule 84 adopted July 12, 1985, effective January 1, 1986; January 1, 1986 effective date extended to July 1, 1986; amended February 1, 1989, effective July 1, 1989; amended April 18, 1997, effective July 1, 1997; amended October 1, 1997, effective October 1, 1998; renumbered Rule 455 and *Comment* revised March 1, 2000, effective April 1, 2001; *Comment* revised August 7, 2003, effective July 1, 2004; *Comment* revised April 1, 2005, effective October 1, 2005; amended August 15, 2005, effective February 1, 2006 [.] ; **Comment revised _____, 2009, effective _____, 2009.**

* * * * *

COMMITTEE EXPLANATORY REPORTS:

Final Report explaining the April 18, 1997 amendments mandating a summary trial in absentia with certain exceptions published with the Court's Order at 27 Pa.B. 2117 (May 3, 1997).

Final Report explaining the October 1, 1997 amendments to paragraphs (D) and (E) published with the Court's Order at 27 Pa.B. 5414 (October 1, 1997).

Final Report explaining the March 1, 2000 reorganization and renumbering of the rules published with the Court's Order at 30 Pa.B. 1478 (March 18, 2000).

Final Report explaining the August 7, 2003 changes to the Comment concerning failure to pay and juveniles published with the Court's Order at 33 Pa.B. 4293 (August 30, 2003).

Final Report explaining the April 1, 2005 Comment revision concerning application of the Juvenile Court Procedural Rules published with the Court's Order at 35 Pa.B. 2213 (April 16, 2005).

Final Report explaining the August 15, 2005 amendments to paragraph (D) concerning notice of right to appeal published with the Court's Order at 35 Pa.B. 4918 (September 3, 2005).

Report explaining the proposed revisions of the Comment concerning the Public School Code of 1949 published with the Court's Order at 39 Pa.B. (, 2009).

RULE 456. DEFAULT PROCEDURES: RESTITUTION, FINES, AND COSTS.

(A) When a defendant advises the issuing authority that a default on a single remittance or installment payment of restitution, fines, or costs is imminent, the issuing authority may schedule a hearing on the defendant's ability to pay. If a new payment schedule is ordered, the order shall state the date on which each payment is due, and the defendant shall be given a copy of the order.

(B) If a defendant defaults on the payment of fines and costs, or restitution, as ordered, the issuing authority shall notify the defendant in person or by first class mail that, unless within 10 days of the date on the default notice, the defendant pays the amount due as ordered, or appears before the issuing authority to explain why the defendant should not be imprisoned for nonpayment as provided by law, a warrant for the defendant's arrest may be issued.

(C) If the defendant appears pursuant to the 10-day notice in paragraph (B) or following an arrest for failing to respond to the 10-day notice in paragraph (B), the issuing authority shall conduct a hearing to determine whether the defendant is financially able to pay as ordered.

(1) Upon a determination that the defendant is financially able to pay as ordered, the issuing authority may impose any sanction provided by law.

(2) Upon a determination that the defendant is financially unable to pay as ordered, the issuing authority may order a schedule or reschedule for installment payments, or alter or amend the order as otherwise provided by law.

(3) At the conclusion of the hearing, the issuing authority shall:

(a) if the issuing authority has ordered a schedule of installment payments or a new schedule of installment payments, state the date on which each installment payment is due;

(b) advise the defendant of the right to appeal within 30 days for a hearing *de novo* in the court of common pleas, and that if an appeal is filed:

(i) the execution of the order will be stayed and the issuing authority may set bail or collateral; and

(ii) the defendant must appear for the hearing *de novo* in the court of common pleas or the appeal may be dismissed;

(c) if a sentence of imprisonment has been imposed, direct the defendant to appear for the execution of sentence on a date certain unless the defendant files a notice of appeal within the 30-day period; and

(d) issue a written order imposing sentence, signed by the issuing authority. The order shall include the information specified in paragraphs (C)(3)(a) through (C)(3)(c), and a copy of the order shall be given to the defendant.

(D) A defendant may appeal an issuing authority's determination pursuant to this rule by filing a notice of appeal within 30 days of the issuing authority's order. The appeal shall proceed as provided in Rules 460, 461, and 462.

COMMENT: The purpose of this rule is to provide the procedures governing defaults in the payment of restitution, fines, and costs.

Although most of this rule concerns the procedures followed by the issuing authority after a default occurs, paragraph (A) makes it clear that a defendant should be encouraged to seek a modification of the payment order when the defendant knows default is likely, but before it happens. For fines and costs, see 42 Pa.C.S. § 9730(b)(3).

An issuing authority may at any time alter or amend an order of restitution. See 18 Pa.C.S. § 1106(c)(2) and (3).

When a defendant defaults on a payment of restitution, fines, or costs, paragraph (B) requires the issuing authority to notify the defendant of the default, and to provide the defendant with an opportunity to pay the amount due or appear within 10 days to explain why the defendant should not be imprisoned for nonpayment. Notice by first class mail is considered complete upon mailing to the defendant's last known address. See Rule 430(D).

Except in cases under the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. 1-102, et seq., in which the defendant is at least 13 years of age but not yet 17, [1] if the defendant is under 18 years of age, the notice in paragraph (B) must inform the defendant and defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian that, if payment is not received or the defendant

does not appear within the 10-day time period, the issuing authority will certify notice of the failure to pay to the court of common pleas as required by the Juvenile Act, 42 Pa.C.S. § 6302, definition of "delinquent act," paragraph (2)(iv), and the case will proceed pursuant to the Rules of Juvenile Court Procedure and the Juvenile Act instead of these rules.

If the defendant is charged with a violation of the compulsory attendance requirements of the Public School Act of 1949, 24 P.S. § 1-102, et seq.; has attained the age of 13 but is not yet 17; and has failed to pay the fine and costs, the issuing authority must issue the notice required by paragraph (B)(4) to the defendant and the defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian informing the defendant and defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian that, if payment is not received or the defendant does not appear within the 10-day time period, the issuing authority may allege the defendant dependent under 42 Pa.C.S. § 6303(a)(1). Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 13-1333(b)(2), the defendant's failure to pay is not a delinquent act and the issuing authority would not certify notice of the failure to pay to the common pleas court.

If the defendant is 18 years or older when the default in payment occurs, the issuing authority must proceed under these rules.

Pursuant to paragraph (C), the issuing authority must conduct a default hearing when a defendant responds to the 10-day notice as provided in paragraph (B), or when the defendant is arrested for failing to respond to the 10-day notice. If the default hearing cannot be held immediately, the issuing authority may set bail as provided in Chapter 5 Part C.

Under paragraph (C)(1), when the issuing authority determines that a defendant is able to pay as ordered, the issuing authority may, as provided by law, impose imprisonment or other sanctions. In addition, delinquent restitution, fines, or court costs may be turned over to a private collection agency. See 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 9730(b)(2) and 9730.1(a).

When a defendant is in default of an installment payment, the issuing authority on his or her own motion or at the request of the defendant or the attorney for the Commonwealth must schedule a rehearing to determine the cause of the default. Before an issuing authority may impose a sentence of imprisonment as provided by law for nonpayment of restitution, fines, or costs, a hearing or rehearing must be held whenever a defendant alleges that his or her ability to pay has been diminished. See 42 Pa.C.S. § 9730(b). See also Rules 121 and 122 (dealing with the right to counsel).

When a rehearing is held on a payment schedule for fines or costs, the issuing authority may extend or accelerate the payment schedule, leave it unaltered, or sentence the defendant to a period of community service, as the issuing authority finds to be just and practicable under the circumstances. See 42 Pa.C.S. § 9730(b)(3).

This rule contemplates that when there has been an appeal pursuant to paragraph (D), the case would return to the issuing authority who presided at the default hearing for completion of the collection process.

Nothing in this rule is intended to preclude an issuing authority from imposing punishment for indirect criminal contempt when a defendant fails to pay fines and costs in accordance with an installment payment order, 42 Pa.C.S. §§ 4137(a)(4), 4138(a)(3), and 4139(a)(3), or fails to pay restitution, 42 Pa.C.S. § 4137(a)(3). Separate Rules of Criminal Procedure govern contempt adjudications. See Chapter 1 Part D.

NOTE: Adopted July 12, 1985, effective January 1, 1986; amended September 23, 1985, effective January 1, 1986; January 1, 1986 effective dates extended to July 1, 1986; *Comment* revised February 1, 1989, effective July 1, 1989; rescinded October 1, 1997, effective October 1, 1998. New Rule 85 adopted October 1, 1997, effective October 1, 1998; amended July 2, 1999, effective August 1, 1999; renumbered Rule 456 and amended March 1, 2000, effective April 1,

2001; *Comment* August 7, 2003, effective July 1, 2004; amended March 3, 2004, effective July 1, 2004; *Comment* revised April 1, 2005, effective October 1, 2005 [.] ; *Comment* revised _____, 2009, effective _____, 2009.

* * * * *

COMMITTEE EXPLANATORY REPORTS:

Final Report explaining the new rule published with the Court's Order at 27 Pa.B. 5414 (October 18, 1997).

Final Report explaining the July 2, 1999 amendments to paragraph (C) published with the Court's Order at 29 Pa.B. 3718 (July 17, 1999).

Final Report explaining the March 1, 2000 reorganization and renumbering of the rules published with the Court's Order at 30 Pa.B. 1478 (March 18, 2000).

Final Report explaining the August 7, 2003 changes to the Comment concerning failure to pay and juveniles published with the Court's Order at 33 Pa.B. 4293 (August 30, 2003).

Final Report explaining the March 3, 2004 amendment to paragraph (B) published with the Court's Order at 34 Pa.B. 1561 (March 20, 2004).

Final Report explaining the April 1, 2005 Comment revision concerning application of the Juvenile Court Procedural Rules published with the Court's Order at 35 Pa.B. 2213 (April 16, 2005).

Report explaining the proposed revisions of the Comment concerning the Public School Code of 1949 published with the Court's Order at 39 Pa.B. (_____, 2009).

REPORT

Proposed Revisions of the Comments to Pa.Rs.Crim.P.430, 455, and 456

SUMMARY CASE RULES AND TRUANCY UNDER PUBLIC SCHOOL CODE OF 1949

I. INTRODUCTION

The Committee is planning to propose to the Supreme Court revisions to the *Comments* to Rules of Criminal Procedure 430, 455, and 456 to clarify the treatment under the Criminal Rule procedures of cases involving a child, as defined in the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. § 1-102 *et seq.*, who has failed to pay fines and costs following a summary conviction for truancy.

As part of the Magisterial District Judges System re-design, a question arose concerning how to proceed under the Criminal Rules with cases in which a defendant fails to pay fines and costs following a summary conviction for truancy. The confusion centers on the differences in the statutory provisions in the Juvenile Act, 42 Pa.C.S. § 6301 *et seq.*, and the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. § 1-102 *et seq.* for summary offenses committed by defendants between the ages of 13 and 17. Section 6302 of the Juvenile Act defines "delinquent act," and paragraph (iv) of the definition specifically excludes "summary offenses unless the child fails to comply with a lawful sentence imposed there under." "Child" is defined, *inter alia*, as "an individual who is under the age of 18 years" or "is under the age of 21 years who committed an act of delinquency before reaching the age of 18 years." 42 Pa.C.S. § 6302. Section 13-1333 of the Public School Code of 1949, provides, *inter alia*, that a child, who has attained the age of 13 years but is not yet 17, who fails to comply with the provisions of the Public School Code commits a summary offense and, upon conviction, will be sentenced to pay a fine. The Code further provides that the failure to pay the fine and costs is not a delinquent act, but the magisterial district judge may allege the child to be dependent under the Juvenile Act.

The Criminal Rules currently only provide procedures for defendants who fall within the scope of the Juvenile Act. Pursuant to these procedures, if a defendant under the age of 18 does not pay the fines and costs, the magisterial district judge must send out a notice to the defendant that, if payment is not made or the defendant does

not appear within 10 days, the case will be certified to the court of common pleas. If the juvenile is 18 or older at the time of the default in payment, and the defendant fails to respond to the 10-day notice, a bench warrant is issued.

The Committee reviewed the statutes and the rules. The members agreed that, because the Public School Code creates what can be perceived as an exception to the Juvenile Act by carving out a special procedure for summary case defendants between the ages of 13 and 17 who have been found to be in violation of the Public School Code, the differences should be recognized in the rules. The Committee agreed that the *Comments* to the rules dealing with summary case failures to pay should be revised to clarify the differences in the treatment of a defendant who has failed to pay fines and costs and would be subject to the Juvenile Act and a defendant who has failed to pay fines and costs and would be subject to the Public School Code of 1949. Specifically, the revisions should make it clear that the issuing authority would not certify the Public School Code cases to Juvenile Court because the failure to pay under the School Code is not a delinquent act, and that the issuing authority may allege the child dependent.

II. DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED RULE CHANGES

Rules 430 (Issuance of Warrant), 455 (Trial in Defendant's Absence), and 456 (Default Procedures: Restitution, Fines, and Costs) require a 10-day notice before a bench warrant may be issued when a defendant defaults in the payment of fines and costs. The *Comments* to all three rules include an explanation about the variation in procedure when the defendant is under the age of 18 years. The Committee is proposing that "Except in cases under the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. 1-102, *et seq.*, in which the defendant is at least 13 years of age but not yet 17," be added at the beginning of each of these *Comment* provisions to make it clear that Public School Code summary cases are not treated in the same manner.

In addition, to further assist the bench and bar in understanding the procedures for Public School Code summary cases when the defendant is 13 but not yet 17 years of age, the Committee is proposing that the following paragraph be added to the *Comments* to Rules 430, 455, and 456.

If the defendant is charged with a violation of the compulsory attendance requirements of the Public School Code of 1949, 24 P.S. § 1-102, *et seq.*;

has attained the age of 13 but is not yet 17; and has failed to pay the fine and costs, the issuing authority must issue the notice required by paragraph (B)(4) to the defendant and the defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian informing the defendant and defendant's parents, guardian, or other custodian that, if payment is not received or the defendant does not appear within the 10-day time period, the issuing authority may allege the defendant dependent under 42 Pa.C.S. § 6303(a)(1). Pursuant to 24 P.S. § 13-1333(b)(2), the defendant's failure to pay is not a delinquent act and the issuing authority would not certify notice of the failure to pay to the common pleas court.

Rule 403(B)(4) requires the issuing authority to issue a summons rather than an arrest warrant when the defendant under the age of 18 years fails to respond to a citation. The second paragraph of Rule 430 *Comment* elaborates on this summons procedure. The Committee believes this summons procedure also would apply to Public School Code summary cases, and therefore is not proposing any changes in this regard. However, recognizing the alternative course of action the Code gives to magisterial district judges of alleging the defendant dependent, the Committee agreed this *Comment* paragraph should be revised to include a citation to Section 13-333 of the Public School Code of 1949 explaining this option.

A final consideration of the Committee was that the application of the Public School Code penalties section, 24 P.S. § 13-333, is limited to defendants who have attained the age of 13 but are not yet 17, while the Juvenile Act application terminates when a defendant reaches the age of 18 in general. The Committee discussed how the case would proceed when a defendant convicted of a summary offense under the Public School Code turns 17 years of age, and, therefore, no longer is subject to the Public School Code. The Committee observed that, if the defendant had an outstanding installment payment plan, the obligation to pay would remain. If that defendant then fails to pay on an installment payment plan, he or she would be subject to the Juvenile Act. If, on the other hand, the failure to pay occurs after the defendant turns 18 years of age, the case would proceed under the rules. The Committee concluded this process is clear and no changes to the rules are necessary.